From:

SedimentMamtPlan

Sent:

Tuesday, April 26, 2011 7.18 AM

Subject:

Sediment Management Strategic Plan Task Force - Alternatives Screening Tool

Feedback Form

Good Morning,

This is a reminder to those that did attend and an opportunity for those that did not attend the Sediment Management Strategic Plan Task Force meeting last Monday to provide input on the Alternatives Screening Tool presented at the meeting. We are planning to use the Screening Tool to evaluate different sediment management alternatives.

We are proposing to use the following four main factors to evaluate and compare alternatives:

- 1) Technical Feasibility,
- 2) Cost,
- 3) Environmental, and
- 4) Social/Quality of Life.

We are seeking the Task Force's input on the factors themselves, the criteria that will be used to evaluate each factor, and the weighting that should be applied to the factors as shown on the attached form. While filling out the form, we request that you put yourself in a position of responsibility to provide a service with limited public funding. We commit to carefully consider your responses and include as many comments as possible into a proposed selection tool that will be presented at the next Task Force Meeting in June.

We hope you will provide comments and return the attached form by May 2, 2011 by emailing it to SedimentMgmtPlan@dpw.lacounty.gov.

Please contact Dan Sharp at (626) 458-4345 or dsharp@dpw.lacounty.gov if you have any questions.



Sediment inagement Alternativ

Thank you,

The Sediment Management Strategic Plan Team



Los Angeles County Department of Public Works Sediment Management Strategic Plan Alternatives Screening Tool Feedback Form



Please fill this form out & return it by May 2, 2011 Thank you! Email responses to SedimentMgmtPlan@dpw.lacounty.gov

NAME:	
ORGANIZATION/AGENCY	
EMAIL. PHONE NO	
We are requesting that you provide input into the factors, criteria, and weighting we will use to management alternatives. As you are considering your responses, please keep in mind that the Flooneeds to balance many factors in order to carry out our mission of Flood Risk Management and Wawith a limited budget.	d Control Distric
Evaluation Factors	Suggested Weight
 Technical Feasibility Factor Ability to meet needs: peak demand, long-term (20-year) needs Right-of-way Technical certainty Permitting complexity Maintenance intensity Consistency with surrounding land 	% use
Other comments on Technical Feasibility Factor	
2. Cost Factor Unit Present Value Cost (dollar per cubic yard) Initial cost and long-term operations cost Other comments on Cost Factor Budget	%
3. Environmental Factor • Habitat • Water quality or quantity • Air quality and emissions Other comments on Environmental Factor	%
4. Social/Quality of Life Factor	%
Traffic Noise Scenic Resources Other comments on Social/Quality of Life Factor	
Are there other factors you think we should consider?	Suggested Weight
	%
	%
	%
	%
TOTAL %	0%

Please state any other comments:	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
,			
			: